



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/630,154	07/29/2003	Paula M. McCready	IL-11031 4005		
7590 03/06/2006			EXAMINER		
John H. Lee			NAVARRO, ALBERT MARK		
Assisant Laboratory Counsel Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
P.O. Box 808, L-703			1645		
Livermore, CA 94551			DATE MAILED: 03/06/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		154	MCCREADY ET AL.					
		er	Art Unit					
	Mark Na		1645					
The MAILING DATE of this commo	inication appears on t	he cover sheet with the c	correspondence ac	idress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE  - Extensions of time may be available under the provision after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this coil  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE OF This of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no of the innunication is statutory period will apply and by will, by statute, cause the assafter the mailing date of this innunication.	THIS COMMUNICATION event, however, may a reply be tin will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from optication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,				
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) f	iled on							
2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	2b)⊠ This action is	non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the m								
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 7-20</u> is/are pendi	ng in the application.							
. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
· _ ·								
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 7-20</u> are subject	to restriction and/or e	lection requirement.						
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by	the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected	to by the Examiner. I	Note the attached Office	Action or form P	ΓΟ-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a clair a) All b) Some * c) None of:			)-(d) or (f).					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priorit								
3. Copies of the certified copie	•		ed in this National	Stage				
application from the Internat  * See the attached detailed Office act	•		nd.					
See the attached detailed Office act	ion for a list of the ce	uned copies not receive	cu.					
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary						
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449</li> </ul>		Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P		O-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	o 10/00/00)	6) Other:	ishiramani (i 1)	- ·,				

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-3 and 17-20, drawn to DNA, classified in class 536, subclass
   23.1.
- Claims 7-16, drawn to methods of detection, classified in class 435, subclass 6.

Additionally, Groups I & II are further restricted as set forth in MPEP 803.04, which sets forth that up to 10 sequences will be considered in a single application. Accordingly, Applicant is restricted to a total of no more than ten sequences for examination, the balance of which will be withdrawn from further consideration.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case the method of detection can be accomplished via other F. tularensis specific DNA sequences, for example those described in US Patent Number 6,132,954.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their separate classification and their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Navarro whose telephone number is (571) 272-0861.

Application/Control Number: 10/630,154 Page 4

Art Unit: 1645

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynette Smith can be reached on (571) 272-0864. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mark Navarro Primary Examiner March 2, 2006